WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	7	10	193	SHAMROCK Inspector:	ENVIRONI	BACKS	NDFILL VOM
Time;_	3	:0	Opn	Weather Conditions:	Mosty	cloudy	740

;	The state of the s			
,	·	Yes	No	Notes
CCR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.8	(4)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		×	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)((4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X	·	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	λ		ne de la la la casa de la casa de
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		χ	
7,	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		X	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	- Carlos Carlos Carlos Antonios (Carlos Antonios Carlos Antonios Carlos Antonios Carlos Antonios Carlos Antonios Carlos C
9.:	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		171	
	The state of the s		,	

Additional Notes:	
The second secon	